ERRAC The European Rail Research Advisory Council

http://www.errac.org

1



What are the research, innovation and deployment problems which need to be solved to achieve an energy efficient urban mobility

Yves AMSLER (on behalf of ERRAC)

11 May 2010 - ETP Conference – Session B2: Mobility: the Door-to-Door Strategy



SUSTAINABLE URBAN MOBILITY How to achieve it?

- Less energy consumption?
- Less CO2 emissions per passenger x km?
- Less Congestion?
- More Safety?

=> Huge competitive advantage of public transport and soft modes within concentrated (dense) urban areas



SUSTAINABLE URBAN MOBILITY How to achieve it?

However:

- Many citizens are reluctant to use public transport/rail (Low easyness of use? Poor performances?)
- Many cities are not "friendly" for public transport and soft modes (Urban sprawl?)
- Many political decision makers are reluctant to promote public transport and rail

(Cost of public service requirements?)

What are the *research, innovation and deployment* problems to be solved to achieve an efficient sustainable urban mobility?



URBAN MOBILITY DOOR-TO-DOOR STRATEGY

First difficulty: Meaning of Door-to-Door Strategy

- Different approaches of mobility for citizens:
 - 95% of citizens living within their metropolitan city limits
 - 5% of citizens travelling outside their home city
- Within a given local territory, different meaning for the various categories of populations:
 - Pupils
 - Students
 - Active people with a job
 - Unemployed
 - Retired people
 - Mothers with child
 - Wifes' whose husband has taken the car...
 - Rich and poor...

– For a given person, different meaning depending on the purpose of the trip:

- Study
- Work
- Business
- Shopping
- Culture
- Leasure
- Tourism...
- ⇒ Very large variety of needs regarding door-to-door mobility
- ⇒ No simple and no "one fits all" solution: each city is unique 11 May 2010 - ETP Conference - Session B2



1 (1/5). Lack of strategic vision from actual stakeholders

Public transport operators

- Short term contracts (5-10 years)
- Contracts with public service requirements & shortage of public funds
- Focus on daily & local problems
- ⇒ Difficult to gather a "critical mass" of operators able & ready to invest in EU R&D

Competent (local) Authorities

- Short term elective mandate (5-7 years) usually not compatible with long term investment (needing large consensus over long periods)
- ⇒ Difficult to achieve long term commitment on major projects outcomes

EU R&D current main actors: Consultants & Academics

- Usually not aware of real-life constraints
- ⇒ Low market uptake of most EU R&D projects



2 (2/5). Huge fragmentation of responsibilities influencing urban mobility in a given metropolitan area

- Different departments in charge (and sometimes different public authorities: State, Region, Municipalities) for (e.g.):
 - Land use planning
 - Land use control
 - Transportation planning
 - Traffic management
 - Public transport...
- Usually poor coordination between metropolitan departments
- ⇒ Difficult to set up a global sustainable urban mobility approach (and relevant research) covering short-, medium- and long-term considerations



3 (3/5). Lack of "European urban mobility vision" of local stakeholders

- "Citycentric" approach of solutions
- Limited short term added value of standardised solutions
- ⇒ Low/very low interest in a coordination with other cities/regions/states (sometimes national approach, very scarcely European
- ⇒ Weak representation of local competent authorities in European instances
- ⇒ Research focusing on technical harmonisation across EU not a priority





4 (4/5). Lack of tools at EU level to ease an EU approach of urban mobility

- EC has given priority for a "modal" approach: air, rail, road, waterborne...(see current ETPs)
- Each EC Directorate has its own work programme sometimes overlapping with others
- One intermodal platform: freight only
- ⇒ Inappropriateness of current ETPs to properly deal with "transverse" (multimodal) urban mobility problems and relevant research



5 (5/5). Current distorsion of EU R&D research programmes in favor of "road" urban mobility

- Most research projects impacting urban mobility have favored the car industry against public transport (EC has given priority for R&D projects – and urban mobility policies - facilitating the use of cars)
- ⇒ With regard to important topics like ticketing, ITS and passenger information, very low consideration up to now for those leaving their car at home



PROBLEMS REGARDING INNOVATION & URBAN MOBILITY

- 1 (1/4). Innovation impacting urban mobility is very present at the metropolitan city level (e.g. Travel planners, integrated contactless ticketing...), however:
 - Innovation has an initial cost usually high (e.g. Use of alternative energy)
 - Within ICT sector, no real coordination of those in charge of implementing solutions, no risk sharing
 - When such coordination exist (e.g. IFM-Project for Ticketing), no real endorsement at the political level (by competent authorities)
 - ⇒ For those issues aiming at technical harmonisation, lack of adequate EC support



PROBLEMS REGARDING INNOVATION & URBAN MOBILITY

2 (2/4). Innovation impacting urban mobility is a very competitive domain when technical solutions are at stake, and manufacturers, and even more and more now operators, are facing competition between them

⇒ For those issues aiming at technical harmonisation, reluctance to invest in joint R&D actions, especially for one assuming he has a leading position





PROBLEMS REGARDING INNOVATION & URBAN MOBILITY

3 (3/4). Technical expertise in the rail sector suffers from insufficiant attraction of young researchers & engineers

- Lack of coordination at EU level to tackle this problem
- ⇒ Risk of weakening the competitive advantage of European rail manufacturing industry within and outside EU





PROBLEMS REGARDING DEPLOYMENT OF SOLUTIONS IMPACTING URBAN MOBILITY

1 (1/2). Deployment problems very similar to "Problems regarding EU Research"

- Lack of strategic vision from decision makers in charge of implemention
- Lack of appropriate partners for developing EU Research projects
- ⇒ Low market uptake of EU R&D projects voutcomes



PROBLEMS REGARDING DEPLOYMENT OF SOLUTIONS IMPACTING URBAN MOBILITY

2 (2/2). Specific obstacles regarding the deployment of innovative solutions

- Time consuming decision making process for adoption of innovative products to de deployed EU wide (slow consensus building at EU level)
- Importance of required investment in mega cities for full deployment
- Time needed for deployment conflicting with the short life cycle of ITS products
- Long life time of rolling stock and infrastructure conflicting with the short life cycle of ICT components
- ⇒ Low interest in EU solutions requiring a large consensus between decision makers from different countries. In most cases, cooperation for deployment remains limited within national borders



http://www.errac.org

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

yves.amsler@uitp.org